



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/623,566		07/22/2003	Roberto Amaduzzi	2537-1004	6563
466	7590	02/03/2005		EXAMINER	
YOUNG &	THOM	PSON	KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
745 SOUTH	23RD ST	REET			
2ND FLOOF	₹ .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22202	3752		

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/623,566	AMADUZZI, ROBERTO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·		Christopher S. Kim	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she twith the corresponding address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 2 July 2003</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•	•	Examiner. Note the attached Or	ilice Action of form PTO-152.				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli priority documents have been rece reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/6/04</u> .		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "broad helical conformation" recited in claim 7 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,566 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 6, "bodyof" should read --body of--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the species as claimed in claims 1-6 and as shown in figures 1-5, does not reasonably provide enablement for the species as claimed in claim 7 having a broad helical conformation. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification, on page 6, lines 9-17, recite an alternative embodiment, but the disclosure is inadequate to enable a person skilled in the art to make or use the alternative embodiment.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the trunco-cylindrically shaped upper part" in lines

1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the body" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Packard et al. (3,042,314).

Packard discloses an adjustable dispenser comprising: a body 10; an aspiration conduit 20; a container 18; a head 50; a radially-directed series of channels 42; a elastic sealing means 21.

9. Claims 1, 2, 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hinz (3,324,903).

Hinz discloses an adjustable dispenser comprising: a body 23; an aspiration conduit 22; a container 11; a head 15; a radially-directed series of channels 19a; a elastic sealing means 21; at least one tooth 15a; a plate 19.

10. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gilmour (2,788,244).

Application/Control Number: 10/623,566 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

Gilmour discloses an adjustable dispenser comprising: a body 3; an aspiration conduit 1, 30; a container 28; a head 1; a radially-directed series of channels 19a; a tab 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Packard et al. (3,042,314) in view of MacDonald (3,381,845).

Packard discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the bayonet joint. Packard discloses threads 19 for contacting a collar (opening of container 18) with body 10. MacDonald teaches the equivalence of threads (fig 5) and bayonet joint (fig 3). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have replaced the threads of Packard with the bayonet joint of MacDonald to assembly and disassembly.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 3752

272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752